arXiv:1703.03611v1 [math.GT] 10 Mar 2017

GENERATING THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP OF A NONORIENTABLE SURFACE BY CROSSCAP TRANSPOSITIONS

MARTA LEŚNIAK AND BŁAŻEJ SZEPIETOWSKI

Abstract. A crosscap transposition is an element of the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface represented by a homeomorphism supported on a one-holed Klein bottle and swapping two crosscaps. We prove that the mapping class group of a compact nonorientable surface of genus $g \geq 7$ is generated by conjugates of one crosscap transposition. In the case when the surface is either closed or has one boundary component, we give an explicit set of $g + 2$ crosscap transpositions generating the mapping class group.

1. Introduction

We say that a group G is *normally generated* by a subset X if G is generated by conjugates of elements of X and their inverses. In other words, G is the normal closure of X in G. For example, the symmetric group of a finite set is normally generated by a single transposition.

For a compact surface F, its *mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is the group of isotopy classes of all, orientation preserving if F is orientable, homeomorphisms $F \to F$ equal to the identity on the boundary of F. A compact surface of genus g with n boundary components will be denoted by $S_{g,n}$ if it is orientable, or by $N_{g,n}$ if it is nonorientable. One of the basic facts about $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$, proved by Dehn [3], is that it is generated by finitely many Dehn twists; see [4] for a background on the mapping class group of an orientable surface. If $g \geq 2$ or $(g, n) \in \{(1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ then $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$ is generated by Dehn twists about nonseparating curves, and because any two such twists are conjugate, $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$ is normally generated by one twist. This fact, proved by Mumford [12] and Lickorish [10], has an important immediate consequence: the abelianisation of $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$ is cyclic (and in fact trivial for $g \geq 3$; see [4, Section 5.1] for the history of the computation of the abelianisation of $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$).

The purpose of this paper is to show that also $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is normally generated by a single element, namely a crosscap transposition, if g is

Both authors supported by National Science Centre, Poland, grant 2015/17/B/ST1/03235.



FIGURE 1. A crosscap transposition.

at least 7. A crosscap transposition is an element of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ represented by a homeomorphism supported on a one-holed Klein bottle and swapping two crosscaps (see Figure 1, where the shaded discs represent crosscaps).

Theorem 1. *For $g \geq 7$ and $n \geq 0$ the group $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is normally generated by one crosscap transposition.*

Since a conjugate of a crosscap transposition is also a crosscap transposition, $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is generated by crosscap transpositions for $g \geq 7$. In Theorem 4 we give an explicit set of $g+2$ crosscap transpositions generating $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ for $n \leq 1$. The assumption $g \geq 7$ is necessary, as for $2 \leq g \leq 6$ the abelianisation of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is not cyclic [6, 16], and hence $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ can not be normally generated by one element.

Lickorish [9] proved that $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,0})$ is not generated by Dehn twists for $g \geq 2$, but it is generated by Dehn twists and Y-homeomorphisms, also called crosscap slides. The subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ generated by all Dehn twists has index 2 [11, 15]. Since crosscap slides induce the identity automorphism of $H_1(N_{g,n}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, they also generate a proper subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ (see [20]).

Finite generating sets for $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ were found in [2, 7, 15, 16] for $g \geq 3$ and arbitrary n, all consisting of Dehn twists and one crosscap slide. Because a crosscap transposition is the product of a crosscap slide and a Dehn twist, crosscap transpositions can be used instead of crosscap slides. The presentations of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ given in [13, 18, 19] use Dehn twists and crosscap transpositions as generators. Building on [13], Stukow [17] found a finite presentation of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,0})$ and $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,1})$ with Dehn twists and one crosscap slide as generators. Crosscap transpositions appear already in the proof of the main result of [2], and their name was introduced in [19]. Recently Parlak and Stukow [14] proved that in $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,0})$ crosscap slides have nontrivial roots if $g \geq 5$.

This paper is motivated by the desire to have a symmetric presentation of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ in which all generators would have the same topological type (that is they would belong to the same conjugacy class). A good example of what we understand by a symmetric presentation is the finite presentation of $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$ given by Gervais [5], with many Dehn twists as generators but very simple relations. We believe that the present paper is a step towards a similar presentation in the case of a nonorientable surface.

2. Preliminaries

Let $N = N_{g,n}$ be a nonoriantable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. We represent N as a 2-sphere with n holes and g crosscaps (Figure 3). In all figures of this paper shaded discs represent crosscaps. This means that the interior of each shaded disc should be removed, and then antipodal points on the resulting boundary component should be identified.

By a *curve* in N we understand in this paper an oriented simple closed curve. We do not distinguish a curve from its isotopy class. If γ is a curve, then γ^{-1} is the curve with the same image as γ but opposite orientation. According to whether a regular neighbourhood of γ is an annulus or a Möbius strip, we call γ respectively two- or one-sided.

Suppose that μ and α are curves in N such that μ is one-sided, α is two-sided and they intersect in one point. Let $K \subset N$ be a regular neighbourhood of $\mu \cup \alpha$, which is homeomorphic to the Klein bottle with a hole. With the pair μ, α we associate three elements of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}(N)$: Dehn twists about α, crosscap slide and crosscap transposition. In order to define a Dehn twist about α we need to choose an orientation of a regular neighbourhood of α, and for that we use the second curve μ. The orientations of μ and α determine a local orientation at their intersection point, which can be extended over a regular neighbourhood of α. We denote by $T_{\mu,\alpha}$ the Dehn twist about α in the direction determined by μ as indicated by the small arrows on the left hand side of Figure 2. By this convention we have

$$T_{\mu,\alpha} = T_{\mu^{-1},\alpha^{-1}} = T^{-1}_{\mu^{-1},\alpha} = T^{-1}_{\mu,\alpha^{-1}}.$$

If an orientation of a regular neighbourhood of α is fixed, then we will usually use the standard notation T_α for a Dehn twist about α. The *crosscap slide* $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ is obtained by pushing a regular neighbourhood of μ once along α keeping the boundary of K fixed. Finally we define *crosscap transposition* to be the composition

$$U_{\mu,\alpha} = Y_{\mu,\alpha} T_{\mu,\alpha}.$$



FIGURE 2. The crosscap transposition $U_{\mu,\alpha}$ as the composition of the Dehn twist $T_{\mu,\alpha}$ and the crosscap slide $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$.



FIGURE 3. The surface $N_{g,n}$ and the curve γ_I for $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$.

On Figure 2 we show the effect of $U_{\mu,\alpha}$ on an arc connecting two points of ∂K and separating two crosscaps. Directly from the definitions, for $f \in \mathcal{M}(N)$ we have

$$fT_{\mu,\alpha}f^{-1} = T_{f(\mu),f(\alpha)} \tag{2.1}$$

$$fY_{\mu,\alpha}f^{-1} = Y_{f(\mu),f(\alpha)} \tag{2.2}$$

$$fU_{\mu,\alpha}f^{-1} = U_{f(\mu),f(\alpha)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ reverses the orientation of μ and preserves the orientation of α. It follows that $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ reverses the orientation of a regular neighbourhood of α, and by (2.1) we have

$$Y_{\mu,\alpha}T_{\mu,\alpha}Y_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1} = T_{\mu^{-1},\alpha} = T_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1},$$

and also

$$U_{\mu,\alpha}T_{\mu,\alpha}U_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1} = T_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1}.$$

The inverse of $U_{\mu,\alpha}$ is $U_{\mu^{-1},\alpha^{-1}}$. Indeed, we have

$$U_{\mu^{-1},\alpha^{-1}} = Y_{\mu^{-1},\alpha^{-1}}T_{\mu^{-1},\alpha^{-1}} = Y_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1}T_{\mu,\alpha} = T_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1}Y_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1} = U_{\mu,\alpha}^{-1}.$$

It follows from (2.3) and the classification of surfaces that two crosscap transpositions U_{μ_1,α_1} and U_{μ_2,α_2} are conjugate in $\mathcal{M}(N)$ if and only if the complements of $\mu_1 \cup \alpha_1$ and $\mu_2 \cup \alpha_2$ in N are either both nonorientable or both orientable.

For a non-empty subset $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, g\}$ let γ_I denote the curve shown on Figure 3. Note that γ_I is two-sided if and only if k is even. In such a case we denote by T_{γ_I} the Dehn twists about γ_I in the direction indicated by the small arrows on Figure 3 (that is $T_{\gamma_{\{i_k\}},\gamma_I}$ according to our convention). The following curves will play a special role and so we give them different names:

- $\mu_i = \gamma_{\{i\}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, g$,
- $\alpha_i = \gamma_{\{i,i+1\}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, g-1$,
- $\beta = \gamma_{\{1,2,3,4\}}$.

We also define Dehn twists

- $a_i = T_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, g-1$,
- $b = T_\beta$,

and crosscap transpositions

- $u_i = U_{\mu_{i+1},\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, g-1$,
- $v = U_{\mu_4,\beta}$.

Observe that u_i swaps Möbius bands with cores μ_i and μ_{i+1}, while v swaps Möbius bands with cores μ_4 and $\gamma_{\{1,2,3\}}$. The following relations are consequences of (2.1) and (2.3).

$$u_i u_{i+1} u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$u_i u_{i+1} a_i = a_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1}, \tag{2.5}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, g-2$, and

$$u_4 v u_4 = v u_4 v, \tag{2.6}$$

$$u_4 v a_4 = b u_4 v. \tag{2.7}$$

Indeed, (2.4) and (2.5) follow from the fact that $u_i u_{i+1}$ takes α_i to α_{i+1} and μ_{i+1} to μ_{i+2}. Similarly, (2.6) and (2.7) follow from the fact that $u_4 v$ takes α_4 to β and μ_5 to μ_4.

3. Proofs

Proposition 2. *For $g \geq 5$ and $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is normally generated by $\{a_1, u_1\}$.*

Proof. Set $N = N_{g,n}$ and let $\widehat{N}$ be the surface obtained by gluing a once-punctured disc to each boundary component of N. Let $\mathcal{PM}^+(\widehat{N})$ denote the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of $\widehat{N}$ fixing each puncture and preserving local orientation at each puncture. Let $d_1, \ldots d_n \in \mathcal{M}(N)$ be Dehn twists about curves parallel to the boundary components of N. The inclusion of N in $\widehat{N}$ induces a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{M}(N) \to \mathcal{PM}^+(\widehat{N})$ whose kernel is generated by



FIGURE 4. The curves of the lantern relation.

$d_1, \ldots, d_n$. By [1, Proposition 2.10] $\mathcal{PM}^+(\widehat{N})$ is generated by u_1 and Dehn twists about nonseparating curves with nonorientable complement. It follows that $\mathcal{M}(N)$ is normally generated by $\{u_1, a_1, d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$. It suffices to show that d_i can be expressed as a product of conjugates of a_1 for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let S be an embedded orientable subsurface of N of genus 2 and such that $\partial N \subset \partial S$. By [8] $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is generated by Dehn twists about nonseparating curves. It follows that each d_i is a product of Dehn twists about nonseparting curves in S. Because the complement in N of such a curve is nonorientable, the associated Dehn twist is conjugate to a_1. □

Lemma 3. *For $g \geq 7$ and $n \geq 0$ the twist a_1 is in the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ generated by the following set of crosscap transpositions*

$$\{u_i, v, a_3u_2a_3^{-1}, a_3u_3a_3^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6\}.$$

Proof. Let G be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ generated by the set given in the lemma. We begin by showing that $a_3u_ia_3^{-1} \in G$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. This follows from the definition of G for $i = 2, 3$ and from the fact that a_3 commutes with u_i for $i = 1, 5, 6$. To prove $a_3u_4a_3^{-1} \in G$ we use the fact that u_4 commutes with a_2 and $a_2 = (u_2u_3)^{-1}a_3(u_2u_3)$ by (2.5). We have

$$u_4 = a_2u_4a_2^{-1} = (u_2u_3)^{-1}a_3(u_2u_3)u_4(u_2u_3)^{-1}a_3^{-1}(u_2u_3),$$
$$a_3u_4a_3^{-1} = a_3(u_2u_3)^{-1}a_3^{-1}(u_2u_3)u_4(u_2u_3)^{-1}a_3(u_2u_3)a_3^{-1} \in G.$$

Analogously we obtain $a_3va_3^{-1} \in G$ from the fact that v also commutes with a_2 (the curves β and μ_4 used to define v are disjoint from α_2). Let us consider the curves α_1, α_3, α_5, β as defined above and the curves γ,

δ, ε defined as following:

$$\gamma = \gamma_{\{3,4,5,6\}}, \quad \delta = \gamma_{\{1,2,5,6\}}, \quad \varepsilon = \gamma_{\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}}.$$

We also define Dehn twists: $c = T_\gamma$, $d = T_\delta$, $e = T_\varepsilon$. Observe that the curves α_1, α_3, α_5 and ε bound a 4-holed sphere (Fig. 4) and the twists $a_1, a_3, a_5, b, c, d, e$ satisfy the lantern relation (see [4, Section 5.1]):

$$a_1 a_3 a_5 e = bcd.$$

From that we obtain a_1 as follows:

$$a_1 = (ba_3^{-1})(ca_5^{-1})(de^{-1}).$$

We will show that each of the factors (ba_3^{-1}), (ca_5^{-1}), (de^{-1}) is in G. By (2.7) and (2.5) we have $b = (u_4 v)a_4(u_4 v)^{-1}$ and $a_4 = (u_3 u_4)a_3(u_3 u_4)^{-1}$. Thus

$$ba_3^{-1} = (u_4 v u_3 u_4)a_3(u_4 v u_3 u_4)^{-1} a_3^{-1} \in G.$$

We set

$$x = u_2 u_3 u_4 u_5 u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4.$$

Observe that $x(\beta) = \gamma$, $x(\alpha_3) = \alpha_5$ and $x(\mu_4) = \mu_6$. Thus

$$ca_5^{-1} = x(ba_3^{-1})x^{-1} \in G.$$

We define the crosscap transposition w as follows:

$$w = xvx^{-1} = U_{\mu_6,\gamma}.$$

We have $w = Y_{\mu_6,\gamma} T_{\mu_6,\gamma}$ and it is easy to check that

$$w(\gamma_{\{1,2,6,7\}}) = \gamma_{\{1,2,3,4,5,7\}}.$$

Note that $u_5 u_6(\gamma_{\{1,2,6,7\}}) = \delta$ and $u_6(\gamma_{\{1,2,3,4,5,7\}}) = \varepsilon$, and finally $u_6 w u_5^{-1} u_6^{-1}(\delta) = \varepsilon$. Thus

$$e = (u_6 w u_5^{-1} u_6^{-1}) d (u_6 w u_5^{-1} u_6^{-1})^{-1}$$
$$de^{-1} = d(u_6 w u_5^{-1} u_6^{-1}) d^{-1} (u_6 w u_5^{-1} u_6^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Since $u_6 w u_5^{-1} u_6^{-1}$ is a product of u_i and v, to prove $de^{-1} \in G$ it suffices to show that $du_i d^{-1} \in G$ and $dvd^{-1} \in G$ for $1 \le i \le 6$. This follows from the fact that d can be obtained by conjugating a_3 by some product of u_i and v. Indeed, we have $u_4 u_5 u_3 u_4(\delta) = \beta$ and

$$d = (u_4 u_5 u_3 u_4)^{-1} b (u_4 u_5 u_3 u_4) =$$
$$= (u_4 u_5 u_3 u_4)^{-1} (u_4 v u_3 u_4) a_3 (u_4 v u_3 u_4)^{-1} (u_4 u_5 u_3 u_4). \qquad \square$$

We are now in a position to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Proposition 2 $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is normally generated by u_1 and a_1. By Lemma 3 a_1 can be expressed as a product of conjugates of u_1, and hence $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is normally generated by u_1. $\square$

Theorem 4. *For $g \geq 7$ and $n \in \{0,1\}$ $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ is generated by the following set of crosscap transpositions*

$$\{u_i, v, a_3 u_2 a_3^{-1}, a_3 u_3 a_3^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq g-1\}.$$

Proof. Let $N = N_{g,n}$, where $g \geq 7$ and $n \in \{0,1\}$. It follows from the presentation of $\mathcal{M}(N)$ given in [13] that this group is generated by a_i, u_i for $i = 1, \ldots, g-1$ and b. By (2.7) we have $b = (u_4 v)a_4(u_4 v)^{-1}$ and by (2.5) every a_k can be obtained by conjugating a_1 by some product of u_i. Therefore we see that $\mathcal{M}(N)$ is generated by a_1, v and u_i for $i = 1, \ldots, g-1$. Theorem 4 follows by Lemma 3. □

References

[1] Atalan, F.; Szepietowski, B. Automorphisms of the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface. *Geom Dedicata* (2017). doi:10.1007/s10711-016-0216-7.

[2] Chillingworth, D.R.J. A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a non-orientable surface. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **65** (1969), 409–430.

[3] Dehn, M. Papers on group theory and topology. *Springer, New York,* 1987. Translated from the German and introduced by John Stillwell.

[4] Farb, B.; Margalit, D. A primer on mapping class groups. Princeton Mathemaical Series **49**. *Princeton University Press, Princeton,* 2012.

[5] Gervais, S. A finite presentation of the mapping class group of a punctured surface. *Topology* **40** (2001), 703–725.

[6] Korkmaz, M. First homology group of mapping class group of nonorientable surfaces. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **123** (1998), 487-499.

[7] Korkmaz, M. Mapping class groups of nonorientable surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata* **89** (2002), 109–133.

[8] Labruère, C.; Paris, L. Presentations for the punctured mapping class groups in terms of Artin groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **1** (2001), 73–114.

[9] Lickorish, W.B.R. Homeomorphisms of non-orientable two-manifolds. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **59** (1963), 307–317.

[10] Lickorish, W.B.R. A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a 2-manifold. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 60 (1964), 769–778.

[11] Lickorish, W.B.R. On the homeomorphisms of a non-orientable surface. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **61** (1965), 61–64.

[12] Mumford, D. Abelian quotients of the Teichmüller modular group. *J. Analyse Math.* **18** (1967), 227-244.

[13] Paris, L.; Szepietowski, B. A presentation for the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **143** (2015), 503–566.

[14] Parlak, A.; Sukow, M. Roots of crosscap slides and crosscap transpositions. Preprint 2016, arxiv:1601.06096.

[15] Stukow, M. The twist subgroup of the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface. *Osaka J. Math.* **46** (2009) 717–738.

[16] Stukow, M. Generating mapping class groups of nonorientable surfaces with boundary. *Adv. Geom.* **10** (2010), 249–273.

[17] Stukow, M. A finite presentation for the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface with Dehn twists and one crosscap slide as generators. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** (2014), 2226-2239.

[18] Szepietowski, B. A presentation for the mapping class group of a non-orientable surface from the action on the complex of curves. *Osaka J. Math.* **45** (2008), 283–326.
[19] Szepietowski, B. A presentation for the mapping class group of the closed non-orientable surface of genus 4. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009), 2001-2016.
[20] Szepietowski, B. Crosscap slides and the level 2 mapping class group of a nonorientable surface. *Geom. Dedicata* **160** (2012), 169–183.

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, University of Gdańsk, 80-308 Gdańsk, Poland
E-mail address: marta.lesniak@mat.ug.edu.pl
E-mail address: blaszep@mat.ug.edu.pl